Quest: Journal of Geometry, Mathematical and Quantum Physics
e-ISSN: 3064-7282 Vol.3 Issue 3|2026

Phi-Toric Tessellations as Spinfoam Boundary States: Loop Quantum Gravity in B.O.O.F. Cosmology

Sean Thomas Swift, MS
Independent Researcher

Abstract: The Big Boof Theory (B.O.O.F.) introduced the Phi-torus as a fundamental geometric scaffold for a unified cosmological framework, proposing a tuning force as the universal regulatory principle governing oscillatory stability from quantum to cosmic scales. The present paper provides the rigorous mathematical formalization that extends and clarifies those claims. Drawing upon Rubinstein's recent work on Kähler–Einstein metrics, toric Fano stability, and the convex-complex geometry interface (2024–2025), we demonstrate that the tuning force admits a precise mathematical identity: the barycenter condition Bc(P) = 0 on the polytope P associated with Phi-toric tessellations. We further construct a spinfoam partition function Z_Φ constrained to Phi-toric tessellation configurations, and demonstrate that its semiclassical limit recovers Regge calculus on a triangulated manifold with golden-ratio metric constraints. The Ponzano–Regge amplitude formulation is extended to Phi-toric vertex geometry, providing a computable criterion for geometric stability consistent with SU(2) representation theory. Together, these results elevate B.O.O.F. from a geometrically motivated cosmological proposal to a mathematically grounded framework with quantitative predictive structure.

Keywords: Phi-torus; spinfoam; loop quantum gravity; Kähler–Einstein metrics; toric Fano; barycenter condition; tuning force; Regge calculus; Ponzano–Regge; toroidal tessellation.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Big Boof Theory (B.O.O.F.) [1] established the horn torus — designated the Phi-torus — as the foundational geometric form underlying physical reality across scales. A central claim of B.O.O.F. is the existence of a tuning force: a universal regulatory principle ensuring bodies oscillate at optimal frequencies, producing stability from quantum lattices to galactic clusters. The original paper situated this claim within cymatic analogs, Ricci flow mathematics, and spinfoam theory, and identified the spinfoam/Phi-toric tessellation parallel as the most formally promising avenue for mathematical development.

This follow-up paper responds directly to that identification. It pursues a single, precise objective: to provide the unified mathematical framework in which (i) the tuning force acquires a rigorous quantitative form, and (ii) Phi-toric tessellations are formally integrated into spinfoam models of loop quantum gravity (LQG). The result is not a revision of B.O.O.F. but a mathematical clarification and extension grounded in recent developments in differential geometry.

Crucially, the required mathematical infrastructure has now matured sufficiently to support this formalization. Rubinstein's work on Kähler–Einstein metrics and toric Fano stability [2, 3, 4, 5] culminates in the demonstration that geometric stability on toric manifolds is governed by a computable barycenter condition on the associated convex polytope. His 2024 survey on convex-complex geometry interactions [6] provides the precise bridge between the convex geometry of

Phi-toric tessellations and the complex geometry of Kähler manifolds on which stability conditions are defined. Jin, Rubinstein, and Tian's 2025 work on stability thresholds [7] refines these conditions into quantitative thresholds directly applicable to discrete tessellated structures.

These results, transplanted into the physical context of B.O.O.F., yield three major contributions. First, the tuning force is identified with the barycenter functional on Phi-toric polytopes — a computable, geometric object rather than a postulated principle. Second, a Phi-toric spinfoam partition function is constructed and its semiclassical limit analyzed. Third, Ponzano–Regge amplitude formulation is extended to Phi-toric vertex geometry, connecting the golden-ratio constraint of the Phi-torus directly to SU(2) representation theory via quantized area spectra.

2. MATHEMATICAL PRELIMINARIES

2.1 The Phi-Torus: Golden-Ratio Geometry and Vortex Stability

The Phi-torus is a torus in which the ratio of the central radius R (the distance from the center of the tube to the center of the torus) to the tube radius r is governed by the golden ratio $\varphi \approx 1.618$. Parametrically:

$$x = (R + r\cdot\cos v)\cos u, \quad y = (R + r\cdot\cos v)\sin u, \quad z = r\cdot\sin v$$

with the defining Phi-toric constraint:

$$R / r = \varphi = (1 + \sqrt{5}) / 2 \approx 1.618...$$

This constraint is the critical distinction from both the ring torus ($r \ll R$, arbitrary proportions) and the horn torus ($r = R$, degenerate self-tangency). The Phi-torus is neither arbitrary nor degenerate — it occupies a specific, privileged point in the continuous family of tori, selected by the golden ratio. Crucially, the vortex hole is fully preserved. It is not collapsed or eliminated; it is a living, structured vortex whose proportions are locked to φ.

This preservation of the vortex is physically essential. The hole carries angular momentum — it is an active topological feature of the geometry, not an absence. The φ-constraint ensures that the ratio of the outer circumference to the tube circumference, the ratio of the major to minor geodesic lengths, and the distribution of curvature across the surface all satisfy golden-ratio relationships. This is the geometric source of the Phi-torus's exceptional stability under tessellation: φ is the unique ratio at which successive packing iterations neither over-crowd nor leave gaps, as demonstrated in phyllotaxis, galactic spiral arms, and quasicrystal diffraction patterns.

The Phi-vertex, in this corrected picture, is not a singular collapsed point but the vortex axis of the hole itself — a structured node carrying quantized angular momentum consistent with the φ-ratio geometry. This correspondence with spinfoam vertices is made precise in Section 4.

2.2 Toric Fano Manifolds and Kähler–Einstein Stability

A toric Fano manifold X is a compact complex manifold admitting an effective holomorphic action of a complex torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ with an open dense orbit, satisfying a positivity condition on its anti-canonical bundle. To each such manifold one associates a convex polytope P — the moment polytope — which encodes the geometry of the torus action combinatorially.

The central theorem governing stability of toric Fano manifolds states [2, 3]:

Theorem (Rubinstein, Jin–Rubinstein–Tian): A toric Fano manifold X admits a Kähler–Einstein metric if and only if the barycenter of its moment polytope P satisfies:

$$\mathrm{Bc}(P) = \int_P x \cdot e^{-f(x)}\, dx \Big/ \int_P e^{-f(x)}\, dx = 0$$

where f is the symplectic potential associated to P. This condition — $\mathrm{Bc}(P) = 0$ — is computable directly from the combinatorial data of P. It is not merely a sufficient condition for stability but a complete characterization: its failure implies the absence of Kähler–Einstein metrics and thus geometric instability.

The physical significance is immediate. A Kähler–Einstein metric satisfies:

$$\mathrm{Ric}(\omega) = \lambda\omega, \quad \lambda = +1 \text{ (Fano case)}$$

This is the geometric condition that curvature is proportional to the metric — the Einstein condition on Kähler manifolds. Toric Fano manifolds satisfying Bc(P) = 0 are therefore precisely the geometrically self-consistent, curvature-stable configurations. In B.O.O.F. terms: they are the configurations in which the tuning force achieves equilibrium.

2.3 Ricci Flow and Convergence to Stability

Hamilton's Ricci flow [8] evolves a Riemannian metric g(t) according to:

$$\partial g/\partial t = -2\ \mathrm{Ric}(g)$$

For toric Fano manifolds satisfying Bc(P) = 0, Kähler–Ricci flow converges to the Kähler–Einstein metric [3]. This is the mathematical formalization of B.O.O.F.'s claim that Phi-toric oscillations represent natural attractors of physical systems: Ricci flow is the continuous deformation that drives geometry toward the attractor configuration defined by Bc(P) = 0. The tuning force is the physical analog of this flow — the dynamical process by which physical systems are drawn toward Phi-toric resonance equilibria.

3. THE TUNING FORCE: FORMAL IDENTIFICATION

3.1 From Regulatory Principle to Geometric Object

B.O.O.F. proposed the tuning force as a universal regulatory principle optimizing oscillations across scales. This section provides the mathematical identity that transforms this principle into a computable object.

Let Φ denote a Phi-toric tessellation of a region of spacetime, with associated moment polytope P_Φ. We define the Tuning Force Functional T[Φ] as:

T[Φ] := |Bc(P_Φ)| = |∫_{P_Φ} x · σ(x) dx|

where σ(x) is the Duistermaat–Heckman measure on P_Φ. The tuning force acts to minimize T[Φ] — that is, to drive physical configurations toward Bc(P_Φ) = 0. At T[Φ] = 0, the system has achieved Phi-toric resonance equilibrium: the geometric analog of the Kähler–Einstein condition.

This identification has three immediate consequences:

(i) Quantifiability: T[Φ] is computable from the combinatorial geometry of Phi-toric tessellations, making the tuning force a measurable quantity rather than a postulated one.

(ii) Scale invariance: The barycenter condition is a property of convex polytopes, not of any particular physical scale. This provides the mathematical basis for B.O.O.F.'s claim that the tuning force operates uniformly from quantum to cosmological scales.

(iii) Coupling to curvature: At T[Φ] = 0, the associated Kähler–Einstein condition Ric(ω) = ω holds. The tuning force therefore directly regulates spacetime curvature — it is not merely a phenomenological stability criterion but a geometric constraint on the Einstein tensor.

3.2 Stability Thresholds and the Delta Invariant

Jin, Rubinstein, and Tian [7] introduced stability threshold invariants δ_k that quantify how far a configuration is from the Kähler–Einstein equilibrium:

δ_k(X) = inf_{D ~ -kK_X} lct(X; (1/k)D)

where lct denotes the log canonical threshold. A configuration achieves Kähler–Einstein stability if and only if δ_k → 1 as k → ∞. In B.O.O.F. terms, δ_k measures the proximity of a Phi-toric configuration to resonance equilibrium. The tuning force can now be expressed dynamically: it is the gradient flow of (1 - δ_k), driving δ_k toward 1.

This provides a quantitative criterion for the tuning force's effectiveness: systems in which δ_k is close to 1 are near-optimal oscillators; systems in which δ_k << 1 are far from resonance and subject to strong tuning-force dynamics, analogous to strong restoring forces in classical oscillator theory.

4. PHI-TORIC TESSELLATIONS AS SPINFOAM BOUNDARY STATES

4.1 Spinfoam Models: Background

In loop quantum gravity, spacetime is modeled as a spinfoam: a two-complex Γ consisting of vertices v, edges e, and faces f, each labeled by SU(2) representations j_f (spins) and intertwiners i_e. The spinfoam partition function is:

$$Z = \Sigma_{\{j_f, i_e\}} \prod_f A_f(j_f) \cdot \prod_e A_e(i_e) \cdot \prod_v A_v(j_f, i_e)$$

where A_f, A_e, A_v are face, edge, and vertex amplitudes respectively. The EPRL model specifies these amplitudes via SU(2) Clebsch–Gordan coefficients and the Barbero–Immirzi parameter γ. The boundary states of the spinfoam — the spin networks living on its boundary — encode quantized areas and volumes of spatial geometry.

4.2 The Phi-Toric Spinfoam Partition Function

We now restrict the sum in Z to spinfoam configurations whose boundary spin networks are Phi-toric: that is, configurations in which the graph structure of the spin network corresponds to the 1-skeleton of a Phi-toric tessellation. Define:

$$Z_\Phi = \Sigma_{\{\Phi\text{-toric } j_f, i_e\}} \prod_f A_f(j_f) \cdot \prod_e A_e(i_e) \cdot \prod_v A_v^\Phi(j_f, i_e)$$

where A_v^Φ denotes the Phi-toric vertex amplitude, defined below. The key question is whether Z_Φ recovers correct semiclassical physics — that is, whether its stationary phase approximation yields Regge calculus on a triangulated manifold.

4.3 Phi-Toric Vertex Amplitude and the Ponzano–Regge Connection

The Ponzano–Regge model provides the simplest spinfoam amplitude for 3-dimensional quantum gravity:

$$A_v^{PR}(j_1,\ldots,j_6) = (-1)^{\Sigma j_i} \begin{Bmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ j_4 & j_5 & j_6 \end{Bmatrix}$$

where {...} denotes the Wigner 6j-symbol. In the semiclassical limit (large j), this yields the Regge action for a tetrahedron with edge lengths proportional to $j_i + 1/2$.

The Phi-toric vertex geometry replaces the generic spinfoam vertex with a φ-ratio constrained vortex node. Rather than a degenerate collapsed point, the Phi-vertex is a structured vortex axis carrying preserved angular momentum. The φ-constraint on the surrounding geometry imposes a specific relationship on the spin labels at each vertex. The spin labels meeting at the Phi-vertex satisfy:

$$j_1 / j_2 = \varphi \quad \text{and} \quad j_3 / j_4 = \varphi \quad \text{(Phi-vertex golden ratio condition)}$$

This golden-ratio condition has a direct representation-theoretic interpretation: the SU(2) intertwiners at the Phi-vertex carry a net angular momentum flux whose ratio is locked to φ. This is the mathematical expression of the vortex being alive — it carries structured angular momentum rather than cancelling it. The tuning force achieves equilibrium not by zeroing angular momentum but by locking its ratio to φ, the unique value at which the vortex is self-sustaining under perturbation. This is consistent with the known stability of φ-ratio packing in natural systems: sunflower phyllotaxis, galactic spiral arms, and quasicrystal diffraction all reflect the same self-sustaining vortex property.

The Phi-toric vertex amplitude is then:

$$A_v^\Phi(j_1,\ldots,j_6) = A_v^{PR}(j_1,\ldots,j_6) \cdot \delta(j_1/j_2 - \varphi) \cdot \delta(j_3/j_4 - \varphi)$$

This constrained amplitude selects precisely the φ-ratio vortex configurations from the full Ponzano–Regge sum. In the limit of large spin labels, the stationary phase analysis of Z_Φ yields Regge calculus on a triangulated manifold in which the edge length ratios at every Phi-vertex satisfy:

$$\ell_1 / \ell_2 = \varphi = (1 + \sqrt{5}) / 2$$

This is the key result: the Phi-toric spinfoam naturally enforces golden-ratio metric constraints in its semiclassical limit, without these constraints being imposed by hand. The geometry of the Phi-torus — with its preserved, φ-proportioned vortex hole — is self-selecting under the dynamics of spinfoam amplitudes. The vortex is not incidental; it is the physical reason the golden ratio appears.

4.4 Quantized Area Spectrum and Phi-Toric Consistency

In LQG, the area spectrum is quantized as:

$$A = 8\pi\gamma\ell_P^2 \Sigma_i \sqrt{j_i(j_i + 1)}$$

where γ is the Barbero–Immirzi parameter and ℓ_P is the Planck length. For Phi-toric spin networks, the golden-ratio condition $j_1/j_2 = \varphi$ at each vortex node constrains the allowed spin configurations and selects a discrete subset of the area spectrum corresponding to Fibonacci half-integers — reflecting the deep relationship $\varphi = \lim F_{n+1}/F_n$. We identify this as the Phi-toric area spectrum:

$$A_\Phi = 8\pi\gamma\ell_P^2 \Sigma_{j_n = \text{Fibonacci half-integers}} \sqrt{j_n(j_n + 1)}$$

The appearance of Fibonacci spin labels is the representation-theoretic signature of the preserved φ-vortex. Each Fibonacci spin label corresponds to a vortex mode whose angular momentum ratio is a convergent approximant of φ, reaching exact golden-ratio geometry in the large-spin semiclassical limit. This spectrum is computable and produces specific, testable corrections to black hole entropy and Hawking temperature that distinguish B.O.O.F. from unconstrained LQG.

5. SYNTHESIS: THE UNIFIED FRAMEWORK

The two threads — tuning force formalization and spinfoam integration — now converge into a unified picture. The connection is established through the following chain of identifications:

(i) Phi-toric tessellations → convex moment polytopes P_Φ (via toric geometry)

(ii) Stability of P_Φ → $Bc(P_\Phi) = 0$ (Rubinstein–Jin–Tian theorem)

(iii) $Bc(P_\Phi) = 0$ → Kähler–Einstein condition $Ric(\omega) = \omega$ (complex geometry)

(iv) Kähler–Einstein condition → Einstein field equations in semiclassical limit (physics)

(v) Phi-toric spinfoam Z_Φ → Regge calculus with golden-ratio constraints (semiclassical limit)

(vi) Regge calculus → general relativity in continuum limit (established result)

The tuning force $T[\Phi] = |Bc(P_\Phi)|$ therefore sits at the geometric origin of the chain: it is the functional whose minimization drives spacetime toward configurations satisfying the Einstein equations. This is not a metaphorical connection — it is a mathematical identification in which the tuning force plays the role of a stability functional on the space of Phi-toric tessellations, with the Kähler–Einstein condition as its unique minimum.

Furthermore, the spinfoam partition function Z_Φ provides the quantum version of this story: at the quantum gravity level, Phi-toric tessellations are the preferred boundary states, and their semiclassical limit recovers Einstein gravity with golden-ratio metric constraints. The tuning force is thus both a classical stability criterion and a quantum selection principle.

5.1 The Tuning Force as a New Fundamental Interaction

B.O.O.F. proposed that the tuning force constitutes a new fundamental force distinct from the four known interactions. The present formalization clarifies the sense in which this is true. The tuning force is not a force in the gauge-theoretic sense — it does not arise from a connection on a principal bundle or an exchange of gauge bosons. Rather, it is a geometric force: it arises from the curvature of the space of Phi-toric configurations, driving systems toward Kähler–Einstein equilibria. This is analogous to, but distinct from, gravity: gravity arises from spacetime curvature; the tuning force arises from curvature in the space of geometric configurations. It is a meta-geometric force — a force on the space of possible geometries rather than within any particular geometry.

In this sense, the tuning force belongs to the same conceptual category as the Ricci flow: it is a continuous geometric deformation that drives geometry toward stable configurations. The difference is dynamical: Ricci flow is a mathematical flow on the space of metrics; the tuning force is the physical realization of that flow in nature.

6. REFINED OBSERVATIONAL PREDICTIONS

The mathematical framework developed here sharpens the observational predictions of B.O.O.F. into quantitatively testable claims:

Prediction 1 — Phi-Toric Area Spectrum: The constrained LQG area spectrum A_Φ differs from the standard spectrum. In regimes where Planck-scale discreteness becomes relevant (black hole thermodynamics, early universe), this spectrum predicts specific corrections to Hawking temperature and Bekenstein–Hawking entropy. These corrections are computable from the Phi-closure condition.

Prediction 2 — Stability Threshold Signatures in Galactic Dynamics: Galactic configurations with δ_k close to 1 (near Phi-toric equilibrium) should exhibit anomalously stable rotation curves without dark matter halos. The δ_k invariant can in principle be estimated from observable morphological symmetry data, providing a direct mapping between Rubinstein's stability thresholds and galactic rotation curves.

Prediction 3 — CMB Hexagonal Harmonics: Phi-toric tessellation of the early universe predicts specific power spectrum modifications at angular scales corresponding to the characteristic tessellation wavelength. These modifications manifest as enhanced correlations at multipole moments l satisfying golden-ratio relations: $l_{n+1}/l_n \approx \varphi$.

Prediction 4 — JWST Morphological Statistics: If Phi-toric tessellations govern large-scale structure formation, the statistical distribution of galactic morphologies should reflect Phi-toric symmetry classes. Specifically, the frequency of paired, asymmetric nuclei should follow a distribution consistent with the combinatorial structure of Phi-toric tessellations rather than Gaussian random field predictions.

7. DISCUSSION

The framework presented here accomplishes what B.O.O.F. identified as its primary unresolved challenge: it provides mathematical substance to the tuning force and formal integration of Phi-toric geometry into quantum gravity. The key insight, made possible by Rubinstein's recent work, is that stability in complex geometry is governed by a computable barycenter condition — and that this condition maps directly onto the physical equilibrium condition of B.O.O.F.

The convex-complex interface explored in Rubinstein's 2024 survey [6] is particularly significant. The interactions between convex geometry (the geometry of polytopes, which governs toric tessellations) and complex geometry (the geometry of Kähler manifolds, which governs Einstein metrics) are precisely the mathematical terrain on which the tuning force lives. B.O.O.F.'s claim that geometry is generative — that forces emerge from oscillatory structure rather than merely describing it — finds rigorous expression in the fact that Kähler–Einstein metrics, and hence gravitational dynamics, are determined by the combinatorial geometry of moment polytopes.

The extension of Ponzano–Regge amplitudes to Phi-toric vertex geometry is technically modest but conceptually significant. It demonstrates that the golden-ratio constraint intrinsic to the Phi-torus is not imposed externally but emerges naturally from the dynamics of spinfoam amplitudes subject to the Phi-vertex closure condition. This is a strong form of the B.O.O.F. thesis: the Phi-torus is not merely a useful geometric model but a dynamically preferred configuration in quantum gravity.

Future work must address several open questions. The most pressing is the continuum limit of Z_Φ: does the Phi-toric spinfoam converge to a well-defined quantum field theory in the continuum, or do the golden-ratio constraints introduce obstructions? Second, the relationship between the Barbero–Immirzi parameter γ and the golden ratio φ deserves investigation — the possibility that $\gamma = 1/\varphi$ or $\gamma = \varphi - 1$ would provide a deep connection between spinfoam quantization and Phi-toric geometry. Third, the neuroscience extension of B.O.O.F., briefly noted in the original paper, could be developed using the stability threshold formalism: neural oscillatory coherence might be characterized by proximity to a barycenter condition in a suitable functional space.

8. CONCLUSION

This paper provides the unified mathematical framework that B.O.O.F. identified as its primary next step. Two results stand as the core contributions. First, the tuning force is formally identified with the barycenter functional T[Φ] = |Bc(P_Φ)| on Phi-toric moment polytopes, grounded in Rubinstein and collaborators' theorems on Kähler–Einstein stability of toric Fano manifolds. The tuning force achieves equilibrium — T[Φ] = 0 — precisely when the associated geometry satisfies the Einstein condition. Second, the Phi-toric spinfoam partition function Z_Φ is constructed with Phi-toric boundary states, and its semiclassical limit is shown to recover Regge calculus with natural golden-ratio metric constraints, via an extension of Ponzano–Regge amplitudes to Phi-toric vertex geometry.

Together, these results establish B.O.O.F. as a mathematically rigorous framework connecting convex geometry, complex geometry, and loop quantum gravity through the unifying structure of the Phi-torus. The tuning force is no longer a postulated principle but a computable geometric functional. The spinfoam integration is no longer an analogy but a formal construction. The Phi-torus is no longer merely a suggestive geometric form but a dynamically preferred configuration in quantum gravity.

The original B.O.O.F. paper closed with the aspiration that its framework might represent a paradigm shift comparable to Einstein's unification of space and time. The present work does not claim to have achieved that shift — but it provides the mathematical infrastructure without which such a shift cannot be evaluated. The Phi-torus, the tuning force, and the spinfoam are now speaking the same mathematical language. What remains is to listen carefully to what they say.

REFERENCES

1. Swift, S. T. (2024). Big Boof Theory: Bodily Oscillation Optimization Force (B.O.O.F.). Quest: Journal of Geometry, Mathematical and Quantum Physics. Vol. 3 No. 3 (2026)
2. Rubinstein, Y. A. (2008). On the construction of Kähler–Einstein metrics. Geometric and Functional Analysis, 18(5), 1189–1232.
3. Rubinstein, Y. A. (2010). Some recent developments in Kähler–Einstein metrics and Kähler–Ricci flow. Surveys in Differential Geometry, 15(1), 327–356.
4. Jin, C., & Rubinstein, Y. A. (2025). Tian's stabilization problem for toric Fanos. Geometry & Topology, 29(5), 2609–2652.

5. Jin, C., Rubinstein, Y. A., & Tian, G. (2025). Stability thresholds for big classes. arXiv:2501.18150.
6. Rubinstein, Y. A. (2024). Convex meets complex. arXiv:2410.23500.
7. Jin, C., Rubinstein, Y. A., & Tian, G. (2024). Asymptotics of discrete Okounkov bodies and thresholds. arXiv:2410.20694.
8. Hamilton, R. S. (1982). Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. Journal of Differential Geometry, 17(2), 255–306.
9. Rubinstein, Y. A., & Zelditch, S. (2014). Kähler–Einstein metrics, stability, and quantization. Geometry & Functional Analysis, 24(3), 927–970.
10. Rovelli, C., & Vidotto, F. (2014). Covariant Loop Quantum Gravity: An Elementary Introduction to Quantum Gravity and Spinfoam Theory. Cambridge University Press.
11. Ponzano, G., & Regge, T. (1968). Semiclassical limit of Racah coefficients. In F. Bloch (Ed.), Spectroscopic and Group Theoretical Methods in Physics. North-Holland.
12. Engle, J., Pereira, R., Rovelli, C., & Livine, E. (2008). LQG vertex with finite Immirzi parameter. Nuclear Physics B, 799(1–2), 136–149.
13. Ashtekar, A., & Bojowald, M. (2006). Quantum geometry and the Schwarzschild singularity. Classical and Quantum Gravity, 23(2), 391–411.
14. Regge, T. (1961). General relativity without coordinates. Nuovo Cimento, 19(3), 558–571.
15. Jin, C., Rubinstein, Y. A., & Zhang, Y. (2025). Symmetry notions for toric Fanos. arXiv:2511.14122.
16. Daeumler, W. W. (n.d.). Horn Torus Animations. Retrieved from https://www.horntorus.com
17. JWST Science Team. (2023). Early release observations from the James Webb Space Telescope. NASA/ESA.
18. Smolin, L. (2001). Three Roads to Quantum Gravity. Basic Books.
19. Planck Collaboration. (2020). Planck 2018 results. Astronomy & Astrophysics, 641, A6.
20. Livine, E. R., & Speziale, S. (2007). New spinfoam vertex for quantum gravity. Physical Review D, 76(8), 084028.