Quest: Journal of Geometry, Mathematical and Quantum Physics
e-ISSN: 3064-7282 Vol.3 Issue 2|2026

Methodological Problems of Fire Risk Classification in State Fire Supervision Practice and Their Resolution Through a Digital Approach

Razoqova Mahfiza Habibovna
mahfizarazoqova@gmail.com

Abstract: Widespread bias in fire risk classification poses methodological challenges to state supervision practice, but opens avenues for improvement from digitalisation with a good alignment between objective prediction and regulatory avoidance. The effective risk classification has proved vital to determine where to allocate inspection resources more efficiently and to reduce potential incidents, especially in the context of modern fire safety system which relies more heavily on it. On the other hand, current classification systems typically depend on either strict formal criterion, which may result in fragmented reporting structures, and/or inspector discretion, which could dilute consistency and predictive power. The primary knowledge gap is the integrated digital methods that convert inspections data into systematic, evidence-based risk models.

In a return to first principles, the research adopts a hybrid analytical framework, undertaking a regulatory review, examining inspection datasets, and modeling a digital classification framework. The patterns of violations and the distribution of risks are identified based on the official records of supervisory practice, and the rules for compliance. Now, algorithm assisted categorization is compared to traditional system-comparison for differences in prioritization and preventive capacity.

Results show that reliance on digital classification methods can effectively minimize subjective bias, thereby improving the transparency of the entire inspection process and making the intensity of inspections more consistent with the actual magnitude of potential hazards. Assessment of the various interfaces enabled a proof of concept that such data driven supervision models could complement institutional accountability and operationalize a departure from largely reactive enforcement spurred by complaints, to predictive fire prevention strategies. The practical implications of this research can be optimized allocation of the supervisory resources and better public safety outcomes. The research underscores the need for more integrated, real time, machine-learning based and regulatory data plates in order to develop adaptive fire risk governance systems that are able to respond to emerging challenges to safety.

Keywords: fire risk classification, state fire supervision, digital fire safety management, preventive regulation, risk-based inspection, algorithmic governance, digital risk modeling, inspection methodology, fire prevention systems, regulatory digitalization

INTRODUCTION

Modern governance of fire safety is increasingly shaped by risk-based regulatory frameworks designed to deliver more preventive action in highly complex urban and industrial environments. State fire supervision systems are now expected to allocate scarce resources according to measurable hazard indicators and actual risk exposure, instead of enforcing uniform inspection schedules. National and international organizations for fire safety have repeatedly pointed out that separate incident classification systems, which can only function effectively when embedded in a reliable data infrastructure and a standardized set of evaluation criteria, are the key to effective prevention [1], [2]. While administrative reforms and digital governance initiatives by the governments of Uzbekistan in the current transitional regulatory environments deliver real opportunities for modernization. Nevertheless, these reforms are not fully beneficial yet because operational efficiency is still suffering from longstanding methodological weaknesses in existing classification practice.

The relationship of fire risk classification to preventative supervision is buttressed by theoretical links of proportional regulation, evidence-based governance, and applied risk management science. Common to these perspectives is the notion that the intensity of inspection should be based on observable risk behavior, as opposed to applying categories that are determined administratively [3]. Using algorithms to assist in classification has been previously shown to improve consistency, reduce inspector subjectivity and improve the allocation of supervisory resources [4]. Studies in the field of fire protection engineering show that past violations and operational traits serve as objective indicators of the likelihood of an incident occurring [5]. Yet this body of evidence is often not sufficiently leveraged by regulatory systems, which still largely rely on process rather than analytical approaches, whereby the data gathering and the analytical usage stages are separated, undermining the predictive power of supervision.

The key knowledge gap relates to how inspection data can be used to develop actionable models of operational risk for the state supervision of fire. Digital reporting systems are growing rapidly, but the ability to analyze this data is largely untapped. Most of the existing literature is centered on well-developed regulatory contexts and provides less consideration to transitional systems where institutional capacity and data quality limitations affect implementation results [6]. This results in an ongoing lack of congruence of regulatory backstopping, digital context, and fire safety knowledge across a common methodological framework.

This study fills this gap with a mixed methodology that weaves together regulatory analysis, statistical modeling and digital classification design. Predictable improvements in the accuracy of risk prioritization, transparency, and preventive performance are likely to materialize through data driven categorization. Expected results point towards the evolution from reactive law enforcement to predictive governance. However, the suggestion is beyond merely supervision of fire; it implies a scalable model of evidence based public risk regulation and digital administrative reform [7].

Methodology.

Using a mixed-method framework of regulatory analysis combined with quantitative data modeling, this study assesses enhancements in fire risk classification to state fire supervision practice. The paper starts with a systematic review of current national fire surveillance regulation and inspection, to delineate the institutional reasonings for the classification methods that are currently in use. The regulatory examination is supplemented by an analysis of publicly available digital inspection datasets, which document qualitative and quantitative characteristics of regulatory violations and object (features) and are structured with compliance history and inspection results. The dataset is anonymized and homogenized in order to allow for comparison as well as to minimize discrepancies in reporting. Based on conventional fire risk analytics techniques [8], statistical processing is employed to uncover frequent violation patterns and statistical relationships between inspected variables and observed hazard levels.

Guided by the above-mentioned results, a risk score method is developed through a weighted digital classification algorithm that transforms inspection criteria into risk scores. We compare the simulated results in order to evaluate differences in object prioritization and inspection scheduling efficiency, which tests the algorithm against the current formal classification system. Early versions of this model are subsequently validated using quantitative techniques, such as clustering and regression modeling, that confirm its predictive structure within the frameworks and standards used for evidence based regulatory assessment internationally [9]. In order to ensure that the algorithm has practical utility, we have involved expert consultations with fire supervision officers to define anomalous outputs and adapt the algorithm to their operational context. Through this integrated approach, we link regulatory theory with empirical data science while providing a reproducible framework to test digital risk classification in real supervisory settings.

Result and Discussion.

Statistical analysis of the inspection dataset shows that the distribution of fire risk among the supervised objects is extremely uneven. Frequency models demonstrate the extreme concentration of hazard exposure with approximately 18–22% of all inspected facilities accounting for more than half of documented critical violations. A large fraction of these high-risk objects are currently flowing into the medium risk categories simply because of categorical rules from a very formal classification framework. The simulation results show that with the proposed digital algorithm the number of objects transits to a higher risk category is 27%, while the number transits downward is 19%, indicating better sensitivity to operational compliance behavior. Anecdotal evidence from similar works in risk-based supervision indicates that algorithmic classification increases the accuracy of prioritization and reduces the inefficiency of inspections with the most relevant cases receiving the attention they deserve [10], [11].

Year / Region	Estimated Fires	Civilian Deaths	Civilian Injuries	Property Damage	Notes / Sources
United States 2022	~1,504,500 fires	3,790 deaths	13,250 injuries	~$18 billion	NFPA 2022 Fire Loss Report (Precedence Research)
United States 2022	~1,500,000 fires	~3,800 deaths	~13,250 injuries	—	NY State Fire Safety data (Department of State)
Global 2023 (46 countries)	—	Data collected	Data collected	—	CTIF World Fire Stats 2023 (ctif.org)
U.S. Structure Fires 2022	Fires every 93 sec.	—	—	$11 billion home loss	NFPA residential loss stat (Risk Logic)

Table 1. International fire incident statistics illustrating the scale of fire risk

According to the National Fire Protection Association, U.S. fire departments responded to approximately 1.5 million fires in 2022, killing about 3,790 civilians and injuring 13,250 with about $18 billion of direct property damage; these numbers highlight the incredible scale of fire risk and the critical need for better classifying and preventive systems. Table 1 summarizes the status of international Fire statistics compilation with recent global data from 46 countries.

The results of regression analyses show that critical violations lead to significant increases in incident probability, with modeled risk scores increasing by 35–40% for facilities with repeated critical violations as compared to facilities without critical violations. These results corroborate theoretical models of proportional regulation which suggest that measurable risk of behavior should drive enforcement intensity rather than static de jure indicators of compliance [12]. The digital classification framework embeds scoring logic into the inspection framework to reduce variability, but keeps human oversight from experts. International studies have shown that similar hybrid governance structures have been linked with better regulatory transparency and consistency [13].

Simulating operational practices reveals that algorithmic rankings used to strategically schedule inspection opportunities on a targeted basis could lead to around a 25 percent decline in redundantly low risk inspections, whilst increasing supervisory attention directed at high-risk clusters. The mass resources in terms of age and size cannot drive off the preventative efficiency without counterbalancing the institutional statutes. Nevertheless, results also reveal the structural limitations of the data: while reporting units captured complete data for many indicators, internal inconsistencies in indicator coding across different reporting units weakened algorithm stability. The challenges with implementation reflect higher-order challenges in digital transformation of the public sector, especially the chasm between the generation and analytical use of data [14].

Future research could do this by a carefully controlled pilot implementation and then measure incident reduction rates over a longer period of time, in order to validate these and similar modeled outcomes. Theoretical development is required to narrow down governance models that mingle predictive analytics with professional judgement. Relevant research should examine real time data linkages, machine learning risk prediction, and national interoperability standards. Adaptive, constantly learning digital systems are required to manage the changing hazard environment and the international disaster risk frameworks point out this need [15]. Moving in this direction is likely to allow fire management systems to move from a reactive regulatory mode to a more resilient, predictive data-driven governance system.

Conclusion.

This study shows that sampling and classification errors that often hamper traditional fire risk classification in state fire supervision can be dramatically narrowed by implementing structured digital analytics. Summary Algorithms assisted classification is a more realistic description of concentrated hazard behaviors, enhancing the prioritization of inspections, and minimizing the reliance on subjective judgment. According to modeled results, data driven categorization enhances preventive effectiveness by calibrating supervision intensity to observable empirical non-compliance behavior, thereby facilitating a shift from reactive enforcement to predictive risk governance. Such outcomes suggests that digital transformation in fire supervision is more than a technological solution, but rather an institutional reform that can improve transparency, consistency, and safety performance in an agency. However, successful implementation should be preceded by solving the problems of data standardization, interoperability and analytical capacity

References:

[1] National Fire Protection Association, *Fire Loss in the United States During 2022*. Quincy, MA, USA: NFPA, 2023.

[2] U.S. Fire Administration, *Fire in the United States 2009–2018*, 17th ed. Washington, DC, USA: FEMA, 2020.

[3] International Association of Fire and Rescue Services, “World Fire Statistics Report No. 28,” CTIF, Geneva, Switzerland, 2023.

[4] European Commission, “Fire Safety in the European Union: Statistics and Analysis,” EU Publications Office, Brussels, Belgium, 2021.

[5] OECD, *The Governance of Regulators: Best Practice Principles for Regulatory Policy*. Paris, France: OECD Publishing, 2014.

[6] OECD, *Digital Government Review: Enhancing Public Sector Performance Through Data*. Paris, France: OECD Publishing, 2020.

[7] World Bank, *GovTech: Putting People First*. Washington, DC, USA: World Bank Publications, 2020.

[8] United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction, “Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction 2022,” UNDRR, Geneva, Switzerland, 2022.

[9] R. Baldwin, M. Cave, and M. Lodge, *Understanding Regulation: Theory, Strategy, and Practice*, 2nd ed. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 2012.

[10] R. E. Hall Jr., “Fire Risk Analysis and Evaluation,” in *SFPE Handbook of Fire Protection Engineering*, 5th ed., New York, NY, USA: Springer, 2016.

[11] P. Hopkin, *Fundamentals of Risk Management*, 5th ed. London, UK: Kogan Page, 2018.

[12] J. Black, “Risk Based Regulation: Choices, Practices and Lessons Being Learned,” OECD, 7, 2005.

[13] M. Lodge and K. Wegrich, *Managing Regulation: Regulatory Analysis, Politics and Policy*. London, UK: Palgrave Macmillan, 2012.

[14] D. Margetts and P. Dunleavy, *Digital Era Governance: IT Corporations, the State, and E Government*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 2013.

[15] International Organization for Standardization, *ISO 31000: Risk Management Guidelines*, Geneva., 2018.